Indian J Lepr 2022, 94 : 49-61 © Hind Kusht Nivaran Sangh, New Delhl

http://www.ijl.org.in

# Scenario of Leprosy and Pattern of Disability in Post-elimination Era: A Seven Year Study from a Tertiary Care Hospital of Kashmir Valley

S Rather<sup>1</sup>, FY Shah<sup>2</sup>, S Akhtar<sup>3</sup>, R Devi<sup>4</sup>, I Hassan<sup>5</sup>, YJ Bhat<sup>5</sup>

Received : 26.05.2021

Accepted : 28.10.2021

Leprosy is an infectious disease associated with fear, aversion and social stigma, mostly due to crippling deformities. As prevalence of leprosy decreases, so does the experience with disease, especially for younger physicians. Leprosy can have a significant impact on the quality of life (QOL) of the affected person owing to the chronic nature, disabilities and deformities associated with the disease. A high degree of social stigma in a conservative place like Kashmir further complicates the problem. This has necessitated the need to revisit realms of disabilities in leprosy in our region. Aim of study was to understand the profile of leprosy patients, categorize the pattern of disabilities and assess its impact on the QOL of persons affected with leprosy in our region. This cross-sectional study was conducted in a tertiary care hospital, over a period of 7 years. All patients diagnosed with leprosy were included in the project following an Institutional ethical committee clearance. Detailed history followed by a meticulous examination was done in all patients with distinctive attention to recording of disabilities. Grading of disabilities was done using the world health organization (WHO) grading of 1988. The assessment of QOL was done using WHOQOL-BREF scale. Out of the 90 cases, 42 patients (46.67%) were found to suffer from a total of 72 disabilities with majority suffering from more than one, averaging 1.7 disabilities per person. 48 patients (53.33%) did not have any disability. Fourteen cases (15.56%) had grade 1 disability while grade 2 and grade 3 disability were seen in 23 (25.56%) and 5 (5.55%) cases respectively. The assessment of QOL using WHOQOL-BREF scale demonstrated the quality of life to be worst for the physical domain (median 42) followed by environmental (median 48), global (median 49), psychological (median 56) and social relations domain (median 57). Disabilities and deformities are commonly encountered in our leprosy patients and can have a significant physiological, psychological, economic and social impact on the patient. The disease has a significant impact on the QOL of patients contrary to previous beliefs. Our data also indicates the need for community level studies and interventions to overcome the problem of late diagnosis and proper management as well as proper after care for deformities/ disabilities.

Key words : Leprosy, Post-elimination Era, Disability, Stigma, Quality of Life

<sup>1</sup> Dr Shagufta Rather, MBBS, MD (Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy), Associate Professor

<sup>2</sup> Dr Faizan Younus Shah, MBBS, MD (Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy), Senior Resident

<sup>6</sup> Dr Yasmeen Jabeen Bhat, MBBS, MD (Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy), Associate Professor

Department of Dermatology, Venereology & Leprology, Government Medical College, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India, 190001.

Corresponding Author: Dr Shagufta Rather, Email: shagufta.giri@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Dr S Akhtar, MBBS, MD (Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy), Senior Resident

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Dr Reeta Devi, MBBS, MD (Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy), Senior Resident

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Dr Iffat Hassan, MBBS, MD (Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy), Professor and Head

# Introduction

Leprosy is a chronic infectious disease caused by *Mycobacterium leprae* (*M. leprae*), which affects primarily the peripheral nervous system, skin, mucous membranes and occasionally other tissues. Sensory nerve damage resulting in loss of sensations of pain, temperature and touch, and motor nerve damage leading to paralytic deformities are the major contributors to the development of deformities in leprosy (Kumar et al 2004, Thappa 1994).

Leprosy is a crippling disease associated with fear, aversion, prejudice and social stigma, mostly due to the crippling deformities and disabilities associated with the disease. Disabilities may occur as a result of reactions as well as direct consequence of disease progression. Disabilities in leprosy can affect hands, feet, eyes and face (Singhi et al 2004).

The onset and progression of disabilities in a leprosy patient is affected by various factors like age, sex, occupation, marital status, educational status, duration of disease, type of disease, reactional states, attitude towards disease, treatment status and availability of medical care (Santos et al 2015).

The goal of elimination of leprosy at national level, with a prevalence of less than 1 per 10000 population, was achieved in December 2005 (Siddiqui et al 2009). With the elimination of leprosy, a number of leprosaria were closed, and the vertical program of NLEP was converted to horizontal one, there by the dedicated healthcare staff were redistributed. The younger generation medical and paramedical staff failed to become familiar with the myriad of clinical manifestations of leprosy and its complications. More and more patients are being treated by physicians who have little experience with the diverse presentations of the disease, often resulting in delayed diagnosis. This results in the dual problem of continuity of

leprosy bacilli transmission and a higher incidence of disabilities and deformities at diagnosis.

Quality of life (QOL) describes the perception of an individual regarding their life, encompassing various aspects like physical and psychological health, social relationships, and personal beliefs among others. The psychological health of people affected with leprosy is adversely affected due to the disease and depends on duration of disease, type of leprosy, deformity, nerve involvement and further complicated by economic and social factors. All these factors ultimately affect the QOL.

Kashmir has a population of 69,07,623 as per census 2011. Jammu and Kashmir (J&K) is regarded as a low endemic region for leprosy; the prevalence in Kashmir being lower of the two, with a prevalence rate (PR/10000) of 0.02 and annual new case detection rate (ANCDR/100000) of 0.19 (DHSK 2020). However, these values don't present a holistic view of the situation as patients who continue to live with stigmata and deformities of leprosy are not accounted for in these figures. Any patient who has completed 12 months of multi-drug therapy (MDT) can be conveniently excluded from the figures even if he continues to suffer from reactions, disabilities and deformities caused by this dreaded disease. The number of patients who have completed treatment with MDT but continue to visit the dermatology department of our hospital for ongoing reactions and problems related to their deformities and disabilities is quite significant considering the exceptionally low values for PR and ANCDR.

In addition to these problems, a high degree of social stigma associated with the disease is still persisting in Kashmir which has a Muslim dominated population and a closely knit community wherein news of a disease or disability spreads like wildfire; acting as an obstacle to selfreporting and coercing the patient to hide the disease. This has necessitated the need to revisit the realms of deformities and disabilities in leprosy, so that early identification is ensured and early MDT is instituted to minimize handicap. The influence of leprosy on QOL also needs to be assessed for a better understanding of the impact this disease has on the life of the patient.

This study was conducted with an intention to study the profile of leprosy patients as well as to categorize the pattern of disabilities in these patients and identify the factors predisposing to their development in the low prevalence region of Kashmir. We also aimed to study the impact of the disease on QOL in leprosy patients.

### **Materials and Methods**

It was across sectional study conducted in a tertiary care hospital of Kashmir over a period of 7 years. All the data of leprosy patients enrolled with our department, from January 2012 to December 2018, was compiled and subjected to statistical analysis. Institutional ethical committee clearance was obtained before undertaking the study.

Inclusion criteria used was :

- All patients who presented to our OPD and were diagnosed as having leprosy were included in the study.
- Patients who were diagnosed for the first time, patients already diagnosed and on treatment, defaulters as well as patients released from treatment and on surveillance, were all included.

Exclusion criteria: Patients with disabilities/ deformities resulting from causes unrelated to leprosy were excluded.

An informed consent was taken from all participants. A detailed history and meticulous examination was carried out in each patient. Demographic data like age, sex, occupation, marital status and educational status was recorded followed by a detailed history of the onset of disease, duration of disease, time lag in seeking treatment, symptoms suggestive of a reactional state, treatment history and availability of medicines and medical care. A detailed personal, past and family history was also taken. It was followed by a thorough general physical, systemic, cutaneous, nervous, musculoskeletal and ocular examination of the patients. The classification of leprosy was done using Ridley-Jopling scale, modified to include pure neuritic leprosy (IAL 1982), based majorly on clinical and histopathological criteria. In case of disparity between the two, histopathology took preference during allocation of patient to a group. Categorization into paucibacillary (PB) or multibacillary (MB) groups was done strictly as per the results of slit-skin smear (SSS). Consequently, cases with negative SSS were considered as PB while those with positive SSS were categorized as MB, regardless of number of skin lesions. Distinctive attention was given to the recording of disabilities and their causes. Grading of disabilities was done using the World Health Organization (WHO) grading of 1988 (Brandsma & van Brakel 2003).

In case of patients suffering from multiple disabilities, the highest grade of disability suffered by the patient was taken as the disability grading, e.g. if a patient had grade 1 disability of hands and grade 2 for feet, disability grading was assigned as grade 2. Cases afflicted with isolated deformities of the face like madarosis, leonine facies, etc did not form a part of the total disability count as these disabilities do not find place in the WHO grading of disabilities.

The effect of leprosy on the QOL was assessed using WHOQOL-BREF scale (Skevington et al 2004). It consists of 4 domains – physical, psychological, social relationships and environmental. The domains have a total of 24 facets incorporated into them. (Annexure 1) Each question is scored using 5-point response scale (1 = not at all, 2 = a little, 3 = moderately, 4 = mostly, and 5 = completely). The raw score of each dimension is 7–35, 6–30, 3–15, and 8–40, respectively, with higher scores indicating a better QOL.

The records of patients with all investigations were maintained in separate files for each patient for easy reference and better management. The patients were managed collectively by a dermatologist, physician, orthopaedician and ophthalmologist depending upon the extent of disease and specific disabilities in individual patients.

# Results

The study included a total of 90 patients. The mean age of the patients was  $34.46 \pm 15.64$  with an age range of 8 years to 70 years. The demographic data of the patients is presented in Table 1. The median duration of disease at the time of presentation was 12 months, extending from a minimum of one month to a maximum of 24 months. Relevant clinical characteristics are tabulated in Table 2. Slit skin smear test was done

Domain		Facets incorporated in the domain
1)	Physical health	Activities of daily living
		Dependence on medicinal substances and medical aids
		Energy and fatigue
		Mobility
		Pain and discomfort
		Sleep and rest
		Work Capacity
2)	Psychological	Bodily image and appearance
		Negative feelings
		Positive feelings
		Self-esteem
		Spirituality / Religion / Personal beliefs
		Thinking, learning, memory and concentration
3)	Social relationships	Personal relationships
		Social support
		Sexual activity
4)	Environment	Financial resources
		Freedom, physical safety and security
		Health and social care: accessibility and quality
		Home environment
		Opportunities for acquiring new information and skills
		Participation in and opportunities for recreation / leisure activities
		Physical environment (pollution / noise / traffic / climate)
		Transport

Annexure 1 : Facets included in WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment

#### Rather et al

	Groups	Frequency	Percentage
Age	<10 years	3	3.33% (n = 3/90)
	11-20 years	13	14.45% (n = 13/90)
	21-30 years	28	31.11% (n = 28/90)
	31-40 years	21	23.33% (n = 21/90)
	41-50 years	11	12.22% (n = 11/90)
	51-60 years	7	7.78% (n = 7/90)
	61-70 years	7	7.78% (n = 7/90)
Gender	Male	79	87.78% (n = 79/90)
	Female	11	12.22% (n = 11/90)
Occupation	Labourer	38	42.22% (n = 38/90)
	Farmer	13	14.44% (n = 13/90)
	Army personnel	12	13.33% (n = 12/90)
	Student	11	12.22% (n = 11/90)
	Housewife	8	8.89% (n = 8/90)
	Shopkeeper	6	6.67% (n = 6/90)
	Government employee	2	2.23% (n = 2/90)
Residence	Rural	50	55.56% (n = 50/90)
	Migrant	37	41.11% (n = 37/90)
	Urban	3	3.33% (n = 3/90)

Table 1 : Demographic data of patients

in all patients and was found to be positive in 88.89% of cases (n = 80/90). Accordingly, 88.89% of patients were classified as MB and 11.11% as PB. Bacterial index and morphological index of the tested samples is presented in Table 3. Out of the 90 cases, 42 patients (46.67%) were found to suffer from a total of 72 disabilities with majority suffering from more than one disabilities and deformities, averaging 1.7 disabilities per person. A detailed classification and grading of disabilities is presented in Table 4 and Table 5.

Figs. 1 to 3 highlight the commonly encountered disabilities in our patients. Hands were involved in 27 cases (30%) (Fig. 1 a, b, c, d, e, f), feet in 23 cases (25.56%) (Fig. 2 a, b, c, d, e), while involvement of face/ eyes was seen in 22 cases (24.44%) (Fig. 3 a, b, c). According to WHO disability grading

of 1988 meant for statistical purposes, 14 cases (15.56%) had grade 1 disability while grade 2 and grade 3 disability was seen in 23 (25.56%) and 5 (5.55%) cases respectively. Thus, the grade 2 deformity rate per million comes out to 1.83.

Majority of the cases suffering from disabilities/ deformities were manual labourers and farmers (57.14%, n = 24/42).

A noteworthy correlation was seen between a delay in diagnosis and the occurrence of disabilities. The median duration of disease at the time of diagnosis, in patients suffering from one or more disabilities, was found to be 15 months as compared to 12 months for rest of the patients.

Out of the total 90 patients, 41 (45.56%) had a time lag of more than 12 months from onset of first symptom till diagnosis. These subjects were

	Groups	Frequency	Percentage
Duration of disease	< 6 months	25	27.78% (n = 25/90)
at presentation	6-12 months	43	47.78% (n = 43/90)
	1 year – 5 years	22	24.44% (n = 22/90)
	>5 years	0	0
Family history	Yes	9	10% (n = 9/90)
Type of loprocy	No	81	90% (n = 81/90)
Type of leprosy	Tuberculoid (TT)	9	10% (n = 9/90)
	Borderline tuberculoid (BT)	30	33.33% (n = 30/90)
	Mid-borderline (BB)	1	1.11% (n = 1/90)
	Borderline Lepromatous (BL)	17	18.89% (n = 17/90)
	Lepromatous (LL)	27	30% (n = 27/90)
	Histoid	5	5.56% (n = 5/90)
	Pure neuritic	1	1.11% (n = 1/90)
Presenting features	Hypopigmented macules/	89	98.89% (n = 89/90)
	erythematous plaques or nodules		
	Anesthesia/hypoesthesia	54	60% (n = 54/90)
	Spontaneous blistering	19	21.11% (n = 19/90)
	Ulcer	9	10% (n = 9/90)
	Type 1 reaction	12	13.33% (n = 12/90)
	Type 2 reaction	18	20% (n = 18/90)
Nerve involvement	Yes	78	86.7% (n = 78/90)
	No	12	13.3% (n = 13/90)

# Table 2 : Clinical characteristics of patients

# Table 3 : Slit skin smear findings of the patients

	Grading	Frequency	Percentage
Bacterial index	0	10	11.11% (n = 10/90)
	1+	7	7.78% (n = 7/90)
Bacterial index Morphological index Grouping	2+	21	23.33% (n = 21/90)
	3+	24	26.67% (n = 24/90)
	4+	11	12.22% (n = 11/90)
	5+	7	7.78% (n = 7/90)
	6+	10	11.11% (n = 10/90)
Morphological index	<25%	13	14.44% (n = 13/90)
Bacterial index Morphological index Grouping	25-50%	9	10% (n = 9/90)
	50-75%	24	26.67% (n = 24/90)
	75-100%	44	48.89% (n = 44/90)
Bacterial index Morphological index Grouping	Paucibacillary (PB)	10	11.11% (n = 10/90)
	Multibacillary (MB)	80	88.89% (n = 80/90)

### Rather et al

	Groups	Frequency	Percentage
Occurrence of disability Disability of eyes Disability of hands Disability of feet Miscellaneous disabilities	Yes	42	46.67% (n = 42/90)
	No	48	53.33% (n = 58/90)
Disability of eyes	Redness of conjunctiva	12	13.33% (n = 12/90)
Occurrence of disability Disability of eyes Disability of hands Disability of feet Miscellaneous disabilities	Lagophthalmos	2	2.22% (n = 2/90)
	Diminution of vision	7	7.78% (n = 7/90)
	Blindness	1	1.11% (n = 1/90)
Disability of hands	Anesthesia	6	6.67% (n = 6/90)
Disability of hands	Claw hand with mobile thumb	11	12.22% (n = 11/90)
	Paralysis of intrinsic muscles	2	2.22% (n = 2/90)
	Wrist drop	1	1.11% (n = 1/90)
	Partial absorption of digits	3	3.33% (n = 3/90)
	Gross absorption (stumps left)	4	4.44% (n = 4/90)
Disability of feet	Anesthesia	10	11.11% (n = 10/90)
Occurrence of disability Disability of eyes Disability of hands Disability of hands Disability of feet Miscellaneous disabilities	Ulceration	9	10% (n = 9/90)
	Foot drop	0	0
	Resorption of toes	4	4.44% (n = 4/90)
Miscellaneous disabilities	Depressed nasal bridge	2	2.22% (n = 2/90)
	Leonine facies	12	13.33% (n = 12/90)
	Madarosis	6	6.67% (n = 6/90)

Table 4 : Deformities/disabilities of face, eyes, hands and feet

# Table 5 : Distribution of patients as per WHO (1988) grading of disabilities

	Groups	Frequency	Percentage
Grade 1 disability	Hands	6	6.67% (n = 6/90)
	GroupsFrequencyisabilityHands6Feet10Eyes12isabilityHands17Feet13Eyes9isabilityHands4Feet0	11.11% (n = 10/90)	
		13.33% (n = 12/90)	
Grade 2 disability	Hands	17	18.89% (n = 17/90)
Grade 1 disability Hands Feet Grade 2 disability Hands Feet Eyes Grade 3 disability Hands Feet Feet	Feet	13	14.44% (n = 13/90)
	Eyes	9	10% (n = 9/90)
Grade 3 disability	Hands	4	4.44% (n = 4/90)
Grade 3 disability Hands Feet	Feet	0	0
	Eyes	1	1.11% (n = 1/90)

found to be afflicted with various disabilities more commonly as compared to those diagnosed within 12 months. Among these 41 patients, 30 (73.17%) were found to be suffering from one or more disabilities. Out of the 49 patients who were diagnosed within a year of onset, only 12 (24.49%) had one or more disabilities. The difference between the two groups was found to be

56 Scenario of Leprosy and Pattern of Disability in Post-elimination Era: A Seven Year Study from a Tertiary Care...



Fig. 1 : Deformities of hands. a) Partial claw hand, 'Z' deformity thumb, and mild guttering of interosseous spaces, b) Resorption of digits, dactylitis of right middle finger, and scars over dorsa of hands, c) Guttering of interosseous spaces on left hand, subtle abducted position of little finger on right side (Wartberg's sign), d) Ape-thumb deformity, and globular swelling of hand suggestive of tenosynovitis, e) Severe bilateral resorption of digits, f) Flexion deformity of right little finger, and flattening of normal bulging contour of palm in left hand.



Fig. 2 : Deformities of feet. a) Callosity, deep fissures and superficial ulcers over heel, b) Bilateral resorption of toes, c) Trophic ulcer and spontaneous blistering, d) Swelling foot, non-healing plantar ulcer over first metatarsal head, with exposed tendons, and hyperkeratotic plaques, e) Scars, icthyotic skin, brittle nails bilaterally.

#### Rather et al



Fig. 3 : Deformities of face. a) Scars over face, and nodularity of pinna due to severe reactional polychondritis, b) Collapse of nasal bridge, and scars over face, c) Facial nerve involvement: bilateral lagopthalmos with Bell's phenomenon, and madarosis.

WHOQOL-BREF	Median value	Minimum score	Maximum score
Global perception	49	12	73
Physical domain	42	11	90
Psychological domain	56	7	88
Social relations domain	57	18	82
Environment domain	48	14	76

### Table 6 : WHOQOL-BREF grading of leprosy patients

statistically significant using the chi-square test (p-value = 0.000004).

Among the 42 cases suffering from various disabilities, 41 cases (97.6%) were found to be SSS positive and classified as MB leprosy and only 1 case (2.4%) was SSS negative and classified as PB leprosy. The SSS negative case was categorized into the group of pure neuritic leprosy (PNL).

The assessment of QOL using WHOQOL demonstrated the quality of life to be worst for the physical domain (median 42) followed by environmental (median 48), global (median 49), psychological (median 56) and social relations domain (median 57) (Table 6). When comparing the gender in relation to quality of life, there was a statistically significant difference (p-value < 0.05) in the social relations domain with females having a worse QOL score in this field.

# Discussion

The present hospital based study was conducted with an aim of studying the clinico-epidemiological profile of leprosy and the pattern of disabilities and deformities seen in them. Disabilities and deformities contribute significantly to morbidity and physical challenges in patients. Much of the distress, aversion and social stigma associated with the disease is related to these deformities, mandating early measures to prevent their occurrence through adequate IEC program and early detection through active survey and rehabilitation in afflicted cases.

57

The mean age of onset of leprosy in our study was 34.46 years which was comparable to that reported previously by Kaur et al (1993) and Tsutsumi et al (2004). The most commonly affected age group was 21-30 years, which is similar to that reported in another study conducted by Raghavendra et al (2017). This is a cause for concern as young and productive age group form the most commonly afflicted group. In this study, we documented a clear predominance of male patients comprising 87.78% of cases as compared to 12.22% females, with a male-female ratio of approximately 7:1. There is great variation in reported prevalence of leprosy among males and females with few studies reporting equal incidence among males and females, while others record a clear preponderance among males (Raghavendra et al 2017). Although leprosy affects both genders, increased incidence among males can be attributed to various social and cultural factors like increased movement among males related to work or otherwise. A conservative setup, especially in rural India, ensures limited mobility and contact with outside world among females, particularly those belonging to low-income low-education groups. The stigma associated with the disease and the fact that leprosy formed a ground for divorce in India until recently, further dissuade females from seeking diagnosis and treatment for this disease (Mishra & Gupta 2010).

The most commonly encountered type of leprosy was borderline tuberculoid (BT) seen in 33.33% of cases. This was consistent with the previous study conducted by Raghavendra et al (2017). The next most common presentation was lepromatous leprosy (LL). Pure neuritic leprosy (PNL) and midborderline leprosy (BB) were the least commonly encountered forms accounting for one case each. This is in contrast to studies from South India where a higher prevalence of PNL has been reported, often times forming the second most common presentation after BT leprosy. This might reflect a difference in the epidemiological trend in North as compared to South India.

The median duration of disease at the time of presentation was 12 months. The cases who were diagnosed within 6 months of onset of disease include a meager 27.78%. This is another reason for alarm and apprehension as early diagnosis and treatment might be the most plausible option for limitation of disabilities and physical challenges. This delay in diagnosis may have resulted from a dominant patient profile which encompasses the complex interface of uninformed patients belonging to rural areas with low socioeconomic status, living in close knit communities where cultural beliefs and social stigma play a major role in the choices the patient makes regarding his/her disease. Nonetheless, the failure of puny and inefficient control programmes in effectual screening and early case detection cannot be disregarded.

In this study, we found that a significant majority of our patients (46.67%) were suffering from one or more disabilities and deformities. This was higher than that reported in previous studies-38.1% by Chavan & Patel (2011) and 41% by Ahmad et al (2004). The higher occurrence of disabilities in this part of the country may be attributed to delayed diagnosis. For understanding the reasons, it would be desirable to have a meaningful comparison between the state data and institutional data. However, this was not possible as the state level complete data regarding occurrence and type of disability could not be accessed by us. Being a low prevalence region, primary care physicians have lesser experience with the varied presentations of leprosy. The delay in diagnosis owing to lack of specialist dermatologists/leprologists in the primary health care setup has been a significant contributing

factor to the development of deformities. The availability of rehabilitative services like microcellular rubber (MCR) footwear and reconstructive surgeries in Kashmir has been dismal, to say the least. The gravity can be comprehended by comparing the statistics with those of a state like Gujarat which reports a PR/10000 of 0.36 (NHM 2020). The MCR distribution in a year is approximately 155 times more in Gujarat in comparison to Kashmir (11007 MCRs versus 71 MCRs) even though the prevalence rate is just 18 times more (DHSK, NHM 2020). On a national level, a total of 52227 MCR footwear were distributed as per NLEP during the year 2015-16 (NLEP 2016). During the same year, 3107 reconstructive surgeries (RCS) were conducted across India at 112 recognized reconstructive surgery centers (NLEP 2016). On the other hand, J&K does not have any dedicated RCS center and only one RCS was documented in the annual report, performed by an NGO during the year 2015-16 (NLEP 2016).

Hands were most commonly afflicted with disabilities (30%), followed by feet (25.56%) and eyes (24.44%). This resonates with the fact that ulnar nerve is the most common nerve to be involved in leprosy and may well be the cause of hands being plagued with deformities. Our findings were similar to those reported by Ahmad et al (2004). Redness of conjunctiva (previously included as an ocular disability in the 1970 classification by WHO), anesthesia of hands and feet, claw hands and ulceration of feet were among the most commonly encountered disabilities. This was again consistent with the findings of this study (Ahmad et al 2004).

In the present study, as per WHO grading of 1988 (Brandsma & van Brakel 2003), 53.33% of the cases had no disability while grade 1, grade 2 and grade 3 disabilities were seen in 15.56%, 25.56% and 5.55% of patients respectively. Thus grade 2

disability was encountered almost twice as frequently as grade 1 disability. This was more or less consistent with the findings of grade 2 disability to be more common than grade 1 disability (Raghavendra et al 2017, Ahmad et al 2004). The grade 2 deformity rate per million population (3.329) was found to be much better than that of high endemic regions like Chattisgarh (26.74), Odisha (13.24), Delhi (16.04), Dadar & Nagar Haveli (18.72) but not far behind that of other states or the national average of 4.495, despite the fact that the facilities available in our UT lag far behind the rest of the nation (NLEP 2016). This is another reason for concern as patients who have grade 2 disability must have passed through grade 1 at some point of time since nerve function impairment must occur before visible deformity develops. This indicates a failure of leprosy control and surveillance programme as detection during stages of grade 1 disability could help prevent progression to visible deformities and thus limit physical challenges. As our study population had both cases with active disease as well as those who have been released from treatment percentages as such do not mean much in terms of causation but reflect the needs of these affected individuals.

Out of all the patients suffering from disabilities, majority (57.14%) were found to belong to the group of manual labourers and farmers. Impaired sensations in leprosy patients increase their susceptibility towards injuries, burns, etc. Higher incidence of trauma and injuries owing to manual work, as well as neglect of wounds further aggravate the condition in this group of patients. The results were similar to those reported by Raghavendra et al (2017). Although another study reported a higher occurrence of disabilities among manual workers and housewives (Chavan & Patel 2011), we couldn't document a similar outcome with regard to housewives as they formed a very small chunk of our study population, inadequate to draw any conclusions.

Delay in diagnosis was seen to have a significant relation with the occurrence of disabilities. This is consistent with logic as well as previous reports by Chavan & Patel (2011).

Almost all the patients suffering with disabilities were classified as multibacillary (97.6%) except for one case (2.4%) which was classified as paucibacillary. A higher bacillary load is consistent with increased nerve affliction and thus a greater incidence of disabilities. This was in contrast to the findings reported by Chavan & Patel (2011) and Ahmad et al (2004) who stated a higher incidence of disabilities amongst the PB groups. The comparison is not justified as the definition of PB and MB cases varies widely with authors delineating these groups variously based on number of skin lesions, number of nerves involved, spectrum of leprosy on Ridley Jopling classification, etc. For the purpose of this study, we used SSS as the sole measure to define PB and MB cases and thus comparison with other studies is not plausible.

In this study, we observed that leprosy had a significant impact on the QOL of patients. The worst impact on the QOL was observed in patients who had several disabilities and deformities related to the disease. The impact of leprosy on the social relations aspect of QOL was more predominant in female gender, significantly interfering with their ability to socialize.

The stigma associated with leprosy is deep seated. The extent of the stigma can be comprehended from the fact that there are 800 odd 'leper colonies' spread across the country which are often cut off from the mainland. Most of these colonies were established in 1890s by British missionaries in order to isolate victims of leprosy and to contain its spread. In absence of permanent treatment for the disease which was known to be highly contagious, victims were taken away from their families and friends to be housed in makeshift colonies. In Kashmir, the patients were housed in a former Mughal fort on the banks of Nigeen lake and the colony continues to exist stigmatizing even the third generations of patients who find it difficult to integrate with the society and might have been forced to live in seclusion due to government apathy or plus social stigma (internal/ external) acceptance or both. The colony was initially accessible only by boat and now by road as well.

This is a hospital based epidemiological study on leprosy in our region with an emphasis on the occurrence of disabilities and deformities. Though our findings cannot be directly extrapolated to situation at community level, our findings indicate that a large number of leprosy patients in our region are already suffering from various disabilities at the time of diagnosis. A delay in diagnosis and treatment, dismal surveillance, ignorance regarding the disease on part of patient as well as treating physician, lack of selfcare and poor patient compliance may be among the probable reasons for this deplorable affliction. Early diagnosis, initiation of appropriate MDT, health education and adequate training of health staff can go a long way in reducing the burden of physical challenges among leprosy patients. Last but not the least, proper reconstructive surgery (RCS) of deformed treated leprosy patients and their rehabilitation can help them contribute productively to the society and reduce the social, economic and psychological burden of the disease.

### **Conclusion and future perspective**

Disabilities and deformities, commonly encountered in our leprosy patients, due to delay in diagnosis and treatment is a reason for great concern. These deformities of leprosy can have a significant physiological, psychological, economic and social impact on the patient. Early diagnosis, adequate treatment, RCS and rehabilitation can be achieved through successful NLEP implementation along with an efficient primary healthcare system, surveillance schemes and self-care practices.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the support and cooperation of our patients without whom this study would not have been possible.

#### References

- 1. Ahmad ML, Khan MS, Hussain I et al (2004). Deformity and disability index in patients with leprosy. *J Pak Assoc Dermatol*. **14**: 64-69.
- Brandsma JW, van Brakel WH (2003). WHO disability grading: operational definitions. *Lepr Rev.* 74(4): 366-373.
- Chavan LB, Patel P (2011). Epidemiology of disability in incident leprosy patients at supervisory urban leprosy unit of Nagpur city. *Nat J Comm Med.* 2(1): 119-122.
- DHSK. Leprosy situation in Kashmir division, J&K. Available from https://www.dhskashmir.org/ nlep.php. [Accessed 11 June 2020]
- Indian Association of Leprologists (1982). Clinical, histopathological and immunological features of the five type classification approved by the Indian Association of Leprologists. *Lepr India*. 54: 22-32.
- Kaur SU, Sharma VK, Basak PR et al (1993). Concurrent skin and nerve histology in leprosy and its role in classification of leprosy. *Lepr Rev.* 64(2): 110-116.
- Kumar A, Girdhar AN, Girdhar BK (2004). Nerve thickening in leprosy patients and risk of paralytic deformities: A field-based study in Agra, India. *Lepr Rev.* 75: 135-142.

- 8. Mishra CP, Gupta MK (2010). Leprosy and stigma. *Indian J Prev Soc Med*. **41(2)**: 1-7.
- National Health Mission (2020). Leprosy elimination. Available from https://nhm.gujarat.gov.in /nlep1.htm. [Accessed 11 June 2020]
- National Leprosy Eradication Programme (2016). NLEP annual report 2015-16. Available from: https://dghs.gov.in/WriteReadData/userfiles /file/NLEP\_Final\_Annual\_Report\_2015-16.PDF. [Accessed 11 June 2020]
- Raghavendra BN, Aneesh S, Yarramachu S et al (2017). Clinical pattern of deformities and disabilities in leprosy patients in rural Bangalore– A two year study at tertiary level hospital. *Indian J Clin Exp Dermatol*. 3: 101-109.
- 12. Santos VS, DeMatos AM, De-Oliveira LS et al (2015). Clinical variables associated with disability in leprosy cases in northeast Brazil. J Infect Dev Ctries. 9: 232-238.
- 13. Siddiqui MR, Velidi NR, Pati SAK et al (2009). Integration of leprosy elimination into primary health care in Orissa, India. *PLoS One*. **4**: e8351.
- Singhi MK, Ghiya BC, Gupta D et al (2004). Disability rates in leprosy. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol.* **70**: 314-316.
- Skevington S, Lotfy M, O'Connell K (2004). The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: Psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A Report from the WHOQOL Group. *Qual Life Res.* 13: 299–310.
- 16. Thappa DM (1994). Disability grading in leprosy: current status. *Indian J Lepr*. **66**: 299-306.
- 17. Tsutsumi A, Izutsu T, Islam MA et al (2004). Depressive status of leprosy patients in Bangladesh: association with self-perception of stigma. *Lepr Rev.* **75**: 57-66.

**How to cite this article :** Rather S, Shah FY, Akhtar S et al (2022). Scenario of Leprosy and Pattern of Disability in Post-elimination Era: A Seven Year Study from a Tertiary Care Hospital of Kashmir Valley. *Indian J Lepr.* **94**: 49-61.